Simple and versatile analytical approach for planar metamaterials

Jörg Petschulat,* Arkadi Chipouline, Andreas Tünnermann,[†] and Thomas Pertsch Institute of Applied Physics, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Max Wien Platz 1, 07743 Jena, Germany

Christoph Menzel, Carsten Rockstuhl, Thomas Paul, and Falk Lederer

Institute of Condensed Matter Theory and Solid State Optics, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Max Wien Platz 1,

07743 Jena, Germany

(Received 11 January 2010; revised manuscript received 31 May 2010; published 2 August 2010)

We present a simple model which permits to access the optical properties of planar metamaterials made of unit cells (meta-atoms) which are formed by a set of elementary building blocks, namely, thin metallic wires. In the model, the wires are represented as oscillating electric dipoles which are mutually coupled. The parameters describing the building blocks have to be matched once to let the model reproduce the results of a rigorous simulation or measurement. But afterwards the building blocks can be arranged in quite a different way and the achievable optical properties can be fully explored within the model without further rigorous simulations. The optical properties are accessed at normal incidence only and, for convenience, they can be described in terms of effective material tensors which are assigned to a conceptually homogenous medium causing the same optical response. As an example the model is applied to reveal the occurrence of elliptical dichroism if the split ring resonator meta-atom is modified to L- or S-shaped meta-atoms. The model constitutes an excellent tool to explore new designs of metamaterials.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075102

PACS number(s): 78.20.Ek, 41.20.Jb, 42.25.Ja

I. INTRODUCTION

Metamaterials extend the optical effective material response of natural media. They are artificial materials that allow to tailor light propagation properties by a careful design of the mesoscopic meta-atoms the metamaterial is made of. By controlling the geometry and selecting the material dispersion of the meta-atom, novel effects such as negative refraction,^{1–3} optical cloaking,^{4–9} as well as a series of optical analogs to phenomena known from different disciplines in physics have been observed.^{10–14}

In principle, the material response is mathematically best described in terms of constitutive relations; leading to tensors that relate the electric displacement and the magnetic induction to the electric and magnetic fields, respectively. In the initial stage of research on metamaterials emphasis was put on exploring materials that potentially lead to a biaxial anisotropic (linear dichroism) effective material response.^{1–3,15–17} Recently research was also extended toward the exploration of meta-atoms that affect off-diagonal elements of the effective material tensors (elliptical dichroism). It expands the number of observable optical phenomena, leading to, e.g., optical activity,^{18–22} bidirectional and asymmetric transmission^{23–25} or chirality-induced negative refraction.^{26–28}

However, despite the option to resort to rigorous computations for describing the light propagation on the mesoscopic level of the meta-atoms, an enduring issue in metamaterial research is the question how the effective material tensor looks like for a certain metamaterial.

In general, investigating the geometry of the metamaterial (the meta-atoms geometry and their arrangement) allows to determine the form of the effective material tensors in the quasistatic limit as extensively discussed in Ref. 19. From such considerations it is possible to conclude on the symmetry of the plasmonic eigenmodes sustained by the metaatoms and on the polarization of the eigenmodes allowed to propagate in the effective medium.²³ But in order to determine the actual frequency dependence of the tensor elements, more extended models are needed which start in their description of the meta-atom properties from scratch.²⁵ Such models are required to be universal, simple and assumption free to the largest possible extent.

Here, we outline an approach which meets these requirements. It is based on conceptually decomposing the complex meta-atoms into a set of coupled plasmonic entities that sustain the excitation of dipolar resonances.²⁹ The knowledge of the plasmonic properties of these dipoles and their coupling suffices to derive the material response and the symmetry of the eigenmodes. This, in turn, permits to predict the observable quantities in the far-field, such as the polarization and frequency-dependent reflected and transmitted complex amplitudes. Since our major focus is devoted to investigate structural modifications on planar meta-atoms we restrict our considerations to normal incidence. In principle, the introduced formalism can be similarly extended to investigate different illumination scenarios.

The most appealing aspect of our model is that once the plasmonic entities and their coupling strengths are characterized, far-field properties remain predictable by the model even if substantial modifications of the meta-atom geometry have been made. Even a modification that leads to a different symmetry of the material tensor does not prevent a quantitative description of the dispersive behavior of the tensor elements. To become specific, we start our investigations with an optical inactive, biaxial anisotropic metamaterial, namely, the split-ring resonator (SRR). From the observable far-field quantities we derive the properties of the dipolar oscillators that govern the plasmonic response of the three wires forming the SRR, namely, the individual eigenfrequencies, oscillator strengths and damping constants as well as their mutual coupling strengths. By relying on these quantities, we determine the effective properties of metamaterials consisting of modified meta-atoms with respect to the initial SRR for normal incidence.

We focus on two modifications that evoke elliptical dichroism enabling asymmetric transmission for circular polarized light, as reported in structures with comparable symmetry.²⁵ With our model we predict the effective properties of these metamaterials. We then compute the optical coefficients for a slab made of these metamaterials and compare it to rigorous simulations. Excellent agreement between the introduced model and rigorous calculations for the optical coefficients is observed throughout our work. Therefore, the proposed method can be used for the parameter retrieval without resorting to rigorous simulations. And since it is based on simple analytical calculations the approach potentially allows a large variety of meta-atom modifications and to systematically tailor its effective properties. Hence, our approach provides a powerful and versatile tool for a systematic analysis of achievable material properties by varying only a few constituents that may couple in some well-defined wavs.

Furthermore, we will also show that such a parametrical treatment provides further insight into metamaterial properties. Specifically, we show that it is possible to directly infer that the model's predictions are valid in terms of the Casimir-Onsager relations,^{30,31} the requirement for time reversal and reciprocity in linear media.³²

Thus, the main aspects of the paper can be summarized as follows. At first, the localized carrier dynamics occurring in meta-atoms may be properly described by a set of coupled oscillators, representing the decomposition of the meta-atom in nanowire pieces. Second, the dynamics of these oscillators, determined by the shape of the nanowires and their coupling, result in electric dipole polarizabilities that permit the calculation of the effective permittivity tensor. The main advantage of this simple approach is that modifications of a meta-atom, for which the oscillator dynamics and parameters have been found, leave the effective permittivity tensors predictable. Hence, the far-field reflectance and transmittance can be calculated. We will show that this holds also for modifications changing the character of the eigenstates from linear to non-linear polarized. Moreover, the approach can be useful to determine the effective permittivity tensor for metamaterials whose eigenstates are no longer linear, but elliptically polarized, by intensity measurements of linearly polarized light only.

II. PLANAR SRR META-ATOM

To reveal the versatile character of our approach we will directly start by conceptually replacing the planar SRR geometry, shown in Fig. 1(b), by a set of coupled oscillators. Each of the oscillators introduced here represents a metaatoms piece, i.e., a straight nanowire that is coupled to its electrically conductive neighbors. The oscillators accounting for the isolated nanowire are associated with the excitation of carriers representing the free-electron gas of the metal. Hereby, the carrier dynamics is solely influenced by the external electromagnetic field, including contributions from ad-



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The original SRR structure (left), the first modification, namely, the L (center) and the second modification, the S structure (right). (b) The SRR together with the carrier oscillators, marked by black dots, which are used to phenomenologically replace the SRR.

jacent nanowires. The dynamics becomes resonant for the eigenfrequency of the localized plasmon polariton resonance of the individual nanowire. This localized resonance described by the individual oscillator corresponds to the fundamental electric dipole mode since the dimensions of the nanowires forming the meta-atom investigated here are small compared with the wavelength. We will show later that the carrier oscillations of meta-atoms assembled from several coupled wires still correspond to electric dipole polarizabilities. This holds as long as the wires are assembled in-plane. For out-of-plane structures higher order multipoles come generally into play.^{29,33}

Here, the spatial coordinate represents the elongation of a negatively charged carrier density driven by an external electromagnetic field, which is the usual assumption in plasmonics.³⁴ We show below that this assumption is sufficient to entirely predict the optical response. The equations for the coupled oscillators are

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} x_1 + \gamma_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_1 + \omega_{01}^2 x_1 + \sigma_{21} y_2 = -\frac{q_1}{m} E_x,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} y_2 + \gamma_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} y_2 + \omega_{02}^2 y_2 + \sigma_{21} x_1 - \sigma_{23} x_3 = -\frac{q_2}{m} E_y,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} x_3 + \gamma_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_3 + \omega_{03}^2 x_3 - \sigma_{23} y_2 = -\frac{q_3}{m} E_x,$$
(1)

where we have assumed nearest-neighbor coupling between adjacent, i.e., conductively coupled dipolar oscillators. In passing we mention that in general also capacitive, inductive or in general free-space coupling appears, e.g., between the facing SRR wires. This coupling compared with the conductive coupling is much smaller for the present configuration. In general this free-space coupling could be easily taken into account by introducing yet another coupling constant between the coupled oscillators. The oscillators are driven by the electric field component of an external illuminating field propagating in z direction (normal incidence is assumed throughout the entire paper). Excitation of the oscillators by magnetic field components can be safely neglected.^{29,35} In Eq. (1) γ_i is the damping accounting for radiative and nonradiative losses, ω_{0i} the eigenfrequency, σ_{ii} the coupling constant and q_i is the charge for the three oscillators (i, j) $\in (1,2,3)$, respectively, similarly to those introduced in Ref. 29. The coordinates (x_1, y_2, x_3) themselves are understood as the displacement of the negatively charged carriers representing oscillating currents or multipole moments where both approaches can be used to determine the effective material response of an artificial medium exhibiting a carrier dynamics as described by Eq. (1).³⁶ Here, we apply the multipole approach to map the displacement onto electric dipole moments, since this is consistent with our previous works.^{29,33}Accounting for the contributions of the electric dipole and quadrupole as well as the magnetic dipole moment the wave equation reads as

$$\Delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \omega^2 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) - \omega^2 \mu_0 \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r},\omega) + \omega^2 \mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\omega) - i\omega\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r},\omega), \quad (2)$$

We put emphasis on the fact that the higher order multipole moments, leading to \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{M} , appear in general,³⁷ but do not provide any contribution to the far-field in the present configuration, as mentioned above. Thus, there is no effective magnetic response (effective permeability tensor component equal to 1) since the incoming magnetic field and the magnetic dipole moment of the SRR are perpendicular. A magnetic response as well as bi-anisotropic effects would emerge on the base of measurable quantities in the far-field if the SRRs would be oriented uprightly or the angle of incidence would be different.^{38,39} Thus it is sufficient to consider the dispersion in the electric susceptibility, resulting in a linear effective permittivity tensor which has dispersive entries only in the x-y components. Please note once again, that the observation of bi-anisotropic effects and dispersion in the permeability is possible using such split rings; but it is not possible to probe for the respective entries in the constitutive relations at normal incidence relative to the SRR plane as done in the present work. Therefore in the constitutive tensors these coefficients are deliberately set to zero.⁴⁰ This will hold for all planar configurations with an illuminating field invariant in the x - y plane, hence no spatial dispersion occurs which would result in an artificial magnetic response. Substituting the displacements Eq. (1) into the definition of the dielectric polarization⁴¹ we can introduce the effective susceptibility tensor $\hat{\chi}(\omega)$

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r},t) = \eta \sum_{l=1}^{N} q_l \mathbf{r}_l,$$

$$P_i(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \epsilon_0 \gamma_{i:}(\omega) E_i(\mathbf{r},\omega).$$
(3)

$$\Gamma_{i}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = c_{0,\lambda_{ij}}(\omega) \Sigma_{j}(\mathbf{r},\omega), \qquad (3)$$

where η accounts for the carrier density. This effective susceptibility can be easily calculated. As usual we define the effective permittivity tensor as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \underline{1} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \tag{4}$$

that governs the wave propagation of an incident plane wave in an effective medium composed of SRR meta-atoms.

Next we consider the possible eigenmodes of Eq. (1) for the two polarization directions (x or y). It suffices to investigate these two polarizations as long as the system under consideration is linear. In order to describe a SRR with two identical side arms we set $\omega_{01}=\omega_{03}\equiv\omega_{0x}$, $\gamma_1=\gamma_3$ $\equiv \gamma_x$, $\sigma_{21} = \sigma_{23} \equiv \sigma$ and $q_1 = q_3 \equiv -q_x$. For the oscillator associated with the SRR base having a different geometry, we put $\omega_{02} \equiv \omega_{0y}$, $\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma_y$ and $q_2 \equiv -q_y$. We note that the latter distinction could have been dropped if the geometry of all constituents of the SRR would have been the same. For the reasons obvious from the consideration below we refrain from doing so.

For a polarization of the incoming plane wave parallel to the x-axis we can solve Eq. (1) and obtain the following displacements in Fourier domain:

$$x_{1}(\omega) = x_{3}(\omega) = -\frac{q_{x}}{m} \frac{1}{A_{x}(\omega)} E_{x}(z, \omega),$$
$$y_{2}(\omega) = 0,$$
(5)

where we have introduced $A_x(\omega) = \omega_{0x}^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_x$. For the polarization in y direction we obtain

$$x_{1}(\omega) = -x_{3}(\omega) = -\frac{q_{y}}{m} \frac{\sigma}{A_{x}(\omega)A_{y}(\omega) - 2\sigma^{2}} E_{y}(z,\omega),$$
$$y_{2}(\omega) = -\frac{q_{y}}{m} \frac{A_{x}(\omega)}{A_{x}(\omega)A_{y}(\omega) - 2\sigma^{2}} E_{y}(z,\omega),$$
(6)

with $A_{y}(\omega) = \omega_{0y}^{2} - \omega^{2} - i\omega\gamma_{y}$.

Considering the eigenmodes for x polarization, we observe that two parallel dipoles $(x_1=x_3)$ are induced, while due to symmetry constraints no dipole is induced in y direction $(y_2=0)$, see Fig. 2(c). By contrast, besides a dipole in y direction the y-polarized illumination induces oscillating dipoles in x direction in both arms. But due to the antisymmetric oscillation $x_3=-x_1$ [Eq. (6)], the dipoles in the SRR arms [Fig. 2(d)] do not radiate into the far-field because they oscillate π out-of-phase and interfere destructively. Hence, no cross-polarization is observed and the far-field polarization equals that of the illumination. Later we will prove that any radiation emerging from cross-polarized dipole moments will result in elliptical dichroism, as expected.

By substituting Eqs. (5) and (6) in Eq. (3) we get the susceptibility tensor for the pertinent SRR configuration

$$\hat{\chi}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{xx}(\omega) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \chi_{yy}(\omega) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi_{xx}(\omega) = \frac{q_x^2 \eta}{m\epsilon_0 A_x(\omega)},$$
$$\chi_{yy}(\omega) = \frac{q_y^2 \eta}{m\epsilon_0 A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2},$$
(7)

with the polarization $\mathbf{P} = -\eta(q_x(x_1+x_3), q_yy_2, 0)^T$, according to Eq. (3). As expected, the susceptibility tensor is diagonal. Hence, the eigenmodes of the effectively homogenous medium are linearly polarized and orthogonal. Due to the polarization dependent carrier dynamics the SRR shows a linear dichroitic behavior. Hence, our model correctly predicts the linear polarization eigenstates as required by the mirror sym-



FIG. 2. (Color online) The rigorously calculated (FMM) farfield transmission/reflection spectra compared with those obtained by the coupled dipole model (DM) for the two indicated polarization directions [(a) *x* polarization and (b) *y* polarization]. The stationary carrier elongation (normalized imaginary part of $x_{1,3}$ and y_2) for the two corresponding polarizations [(c) and (d)]. (e) and (f) The exactly retrieved parameters compared to calculations performed with our model.

metry with respect to the x-z plane. The only unknown parameters are those describing the oscillators and their coupling strengths. They can be determined by matching the optical coefficients of an effective medium whose permittivity is described by Eqs. (7) to the spectra obtained by rigorous simulations or far-field measurements of the structure.

In order to find the oscillator parameters, we performed numerical Fourier modal method (FMM) calculations⁴² of a periodic array of gold SRR's⁴³ similar to those reported in Ref. 2. These numerical far-field observables (reflected and transmitted intensities) were fitted by using the effective permittivity tensor Eq. (4) for both polarization directions in a conventional transfer matrix formalism that computes reflection and transmission from a slab of equal thickness.⁴⁴ Results are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

Once the unknown parameters have been found the frequency dependent stationary elongations of the oscillators can be determined as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). They can be used to identify the carrier oscillations of the different plasmonic eigenmodes. These carrier oscillations are shown on top of Fig. 2 and their horizontal position relates to the respective resonance frequency. The observed eigenmodes are documented in literature.⁴⁰ Figures 2(e) and 2(f) show the rigorously retrieved effective material properties together with the ones of the model. Excellent agreement is observed. The rigorous results were obtained by applying a common parameter retrieval based on an inversion of the matrix formalism to calculate the effective parameters on the basis of complex reflected and transmitted amplitudes for a certain slab thickness. We underline that the unknown oscillator parameters can be obtained by comparing the far-field intensity only. The derived effective material parameters are in excellent agreement with the rigorous results, but they were derived without the necessity of knowing the complex-valued fields. This will be advantageous for meta-atoms with nonlinear polarized eigenstates in the following sections where the experimental determination of these parameters is in general complicated (e.g., phase resolved measurements).

III. L-META-ATOM

As outlined in the introduction low-symmetry meta-atoms are investigated in order to reveal new optical phenomena such as asymmetric transmission. We will therefore extend the previous considerations toward meta-atoms exhibiting elliptical dichroism by rearranging the SRR constituents. In the following we shall rely on the oscillator parameters obtained by the fitting procedure above. Using these parameters in conjunction with the analytical expressions for the rearranged constituents as derived below, the optical response of the modified meta-atoms can be predicted. The first investigated structure is the L-meta-atom.^{45,46} In this geometry one of the SRR arms is omitted in order to prevent the cancellation of the far-field that originated from the antiparallel dipole moments. Hence, it is expected to obtain polarization rotation. To get specific in Eq. (1) one horizontal oscillating dipole, e.g., dipole "3," has to be dropped.

Hence, we obtain for x polarization

$$x_1^x = -\frac{q_x}{m} \frac{A_y(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2} E_x(z,\omega),$$

$$y_2^x = -\frac{q_x}{m} \frac{\sigma}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2} E_x(z,\omega),$$
(8)

and for y polarization

$$x_1^y = -\frac{q_y}{m} \frac{\sigma}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2} E_y(z, \omega),$$

$$y_2^y = -\frac{q_y}{m} \frac{A_x(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2} E_y(z, \omega).$$
 (9)

From the resulting polarization Eq. (3)

$$\mathbf{P}^{j}(z,\omega) = -\eta \begin{pmatrix} q_{x} x_{1}^{j} \\ q_{y} y_{2}^{j} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j \in [x,y], \tag{10}$$

the susceptibility reads as

$$\hat{\chi}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{xx}(\omega) & \chi_{xy}(\omega) & 0\\ \chi_{yx}(\omega) & \chi_{yy}(\omega) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi_{xx}(\omega) = \frac{q_x^2 \eta}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{A_y(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2},$$
$$\chi_{yy}(\omega) = \frac{q_y^2 \eta}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{A_x(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2},$$

$$\chi_{yx}(\omega) = \chi_{xy}(\omega) = \frac{1}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - \sigma^2}.$$
 (11)
e most significant change compared to the SRR is the

Th appearance of off-diagonal elements in the susceptibility tensor $\hat{\chi}(\omega)$ which is, however, symmetric leading also to $\varepsilon_{ii}(\omega) = \varepsilon_{ii}(\omega)$. This symmetry relation is important because it is required for time reversal, known as the Onsager-Casimir principle.³⁰⁻³² As expected the tensor of the effective permittivity has the same form as that for planar optical active media^{18,25} resulting in asymmetric transmission due to elliptical dichroism. Furthermore we mention that for all considerations performed here lossy meta-atoms, i.e., effective permittivity tensor entries that are complex valued, are considered. Note that the optical response would change dramatically if both arms would be identical. In this case the diagonal elements $\chi_{ii}(\omega)$ are identical too and the tensor can be diagonalized by a rotation of $\pi/4$. So the polarization eigenstates would be linear and the effective medium would be linearly dichroitic. This is clear since the meta-atom would have an additional mirror symmetry with respect to the plane defined by the surface normal and the line x=y, see Ref. 47.

Another difference while comparing the L to the SRR meta-atom is that the splitting σ between both resonances is reduced (by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$), which follows from dropping one of the SRR arms.

In order to check whether our simple description is valid and to reveal the relation between the SRR and the L-structure eigenmodes, we performed again numerical FMM simulations for the L-meta-atom and compared the results to the model in Fig. 3. Since we deal now with more complex effective media where the full tensorial nature of the permittivity tensor has to be taken into account the standard transfer matrix algorithm cannot be applied. Hence, it is challenging to determine the scattering coefficients analytically⁴⁸ or even to invert them to retrieve effective parameters directly. Therefore we used an adapted Fourier modal method to determine the transmitted and reflected intensities.⁴⁹ In a first approximation we used the parameters which we determined for the SRR. Based on these parameters we calculated $\chi_{ii}(\omega)$ and the far-field intensities as shown in Fig. 3(a) and 3(b) for the L-structure. The associated eigenmodes for the carriers are shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). The curves for both the co-polarized Fig. 3(a) and 3(b)and the cross-polarized intensities Figs. 3(e) and 3(f) are in good qualitative agreement for the rigorous FMM results



FIG. 3. (Color online) The far-field response of the L structure for (a) x and (b) y polarization. In addition to the numerical (FMM, spheres) and the fitted data (DM, solid lines) the predicted spectra incorporating the SRR parameters (dashed-dotted lines) are plotted. (c) and (d) In contrast to the SRR both eigenmodes can be excited for each polarization direction. The respective numerical crosspolarization contributions (FMM, circles) compared with the model predicted (dashed-dotted lines) and the fitted (solid lines) values are shown in (e) and (f). Note that figures (e) and (f) are identical as required for such kind of effective media and are only shown for completeness.

(dotted line) and the model (dashed line) based on the previously derived SRR oscillator parameters. Although there are deviations between the actual resonance strength, the agreement, e.g., for the resonance positions, is obvious. Note that the intensities, in particular for the cross-polarized fields, can be solely predicted by the coefficients obtained from the SRR. There, an almost perfect agreement is observed.

In a second step we adapted the oscillator parameters in order to fit the exact calculations [solid lines in Figs. 3(a), 3(b), 3(e), and 3(f)] providing an almost perfect coincidence with the numerical values.⁵⁰ Note that the fitting is done only for the co-polarized intensities from which the cross-polarized intensities follow.

A last step yields the effective permittivity tensor that is inherently accessible and already applied in order to fit the spectra in Fig. 4. It can be seen that for the two polarization directions two eigenmodes appear as Lorentz-shaped resonances for the effective permittivities. They differ in strength, due to the different geometrical parameters of the L-arms, Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). The off-diagonal elements $\epsilon_{ij}(\omega)$ are identical as discussed before, [Fig. 4(c)]. Considering especially the second resonance for the off-diagonal tensor elements near $\bar{\nu}=11\ 000\ \text{cm}^{-1}$, we observe a Lorentzian antiresonance that might suggest gain within the system due to



FIG. 4. (Color online) The diagonal effective permittivity tensor elements of the L meta-atom: (a) $\epsilon_{xx}(\omega)$ and (b) $\epsilon_{yy}(\omega)$. The arrows indicate the current flow, given by direction of the carrier oscillation for the particular eigenmode. (c) The off-diagonal elements $\epsilon_{ij}(\omega)$ comprising a Lorentz resonance around $\bar{\nu}$ =7000 cm⁻¹ and an anti-Lorentz resonance at $\bar{\nu}$ =11 000 cm⁻¹.

the negative imaginary part. However, the nature of this resonance can be explained by the introduced formalism as well. Since the permittivity is proportional to the susceptibility Eq. (4) and hence also to the carrier displacements Eq. (11), a negative sign corresponds to a phase difference of π between both oscillating carrier densities (antiparallel oscillations), while for the positive Lorentz resonance at around $\bar{\nu}=7000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, both are oscillating in-phase [sketched by the arrows in Fig. 4(a)]. Thus, the negative sign in the imaginary part of the permittivity can by fully explained by means of the mutual interplay of the coupled oscillators.

IV. S-META-ATOM

In order to verify the model we are going to investigate another modification of the SRR, namely, the S structure^{51,52} [Fig. 1(a)]. To observe elliptical dichroism with the same number of coupled entities as for the SRR its mirror symmetry has to be broken. Therefore one of the SRR arms (e.g., x_3) is turned with respect to the SRR base.

This *opening* of the SRR structure is expected to enable the observation of two modes for *x* polarization, since the oscillator in the base (y_2) can now oscillate in-phase or outof-phase with the two remaining *x*-oriented oscillators of the S structure that are excited by the *x*-polarized electric field. For *y* polarization the situation is similar, but now the two oscillators in the horizontal arms (x_1, x_3) are allowed to oscillated in-phase or out-of-phase to the excited oscillator in the base (y_2) . Mathematically this modification can be considered by setting $\sigma_{23}=-\sigma_{21}\equiv \sigma$ [see Eq. (1)], while all other parameters appear similar to the ones applied for the SRR.

With these initial assumptions, which reflect all modifications to the geometry, the calculations can be repeated in analogy to those for the SRR and the L structure. Thus, we obtain the elongations for x-polarized excitation

$$x_1^x = x_3^x = -\frac{q_x}{m} \frac{A_y(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2} E_x(z,\omega),$$

$$y_2^x = -\frac{q_x}{m} \frac{2\sigma}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2} E_x(z,\omega),$$
 (12)

and for y-polarized excitation

$$x_1^y = x_3^y = -\frac{q_y}{m} \frac{\sigma}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2} E_y(z,\omega),$$

$$y_2^y = -\frac{q_y}{m} \frac{A_x(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2} E_y(z,\omega),$$
 (13)

as well as the respective effective susceptibility tensor

$$\chi(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{xx}(\omega) & \chi_{xy}(\omega) & 0\\ \chi_{yx}(\omega) & \chi_{yy}(\omega) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi_{xx}(\omega) = \frac{q_x^2 \eta}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{2A_y(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2},$$
$$\chi_{yy}(\omega) = \frac{q_y^2 \eta}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{A_x(\omega)}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2},$$
$$\omega) = \chi_{xy}(\omega) = \frac{q_x q_y \eta}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{2\sigma}{A_x(\omega)A_y(\omega) - 2\sigma^2}.$$
(14)

In Eq. (14) we have used the polarization Eq. (3), which is found to coincide with that of the SRR structure, whereas the elongations are different. With respect to the splitting of the resonances, we expect the same resonance positions as for the SRR for y polarization, since $2\sigma^2$ appears in the denominator of the SRR oscillation amplitudes [Eq. (7) for y polarization] as well as in all elongations in Eqs. (12) and (13).

 $\chi_{vx}($

Performing the respective numerical and analytical calculations as before for the L structure, we can predict the spectral response as well as the effective material properties based on the plasmonic eigenmodes. The results are shown in Fig. 5.

Considering these eigenmodes in Figs. 5(d) and 5(e), we may distinguish two situations. At first an eigenmode, which is represented by three dipoles being in phase along the entire structure. The second eigenmode is characterized by two dipoles being in-phase in the arms and out-of-phase in the base. Both eigenmodes are excited for x and y polarization, respectively, and will lead to spectral resonances appearing as dips and peaks in transmission or reflection, respectively [Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)]. The spectral positions of the resonances



FIG. 5. (Color online) The far-field spectra of the S-structure (a) x polarization and (b) y polarization obtained by numerical simulations (circles), predictions based on the SRR structure parameters (dashed-dotted lines), and adapting the parameters to fit the numerical values (solid lines). The carrier eigenmodes, i.e., an in line current over the entire structure and antiparallel currents [normalized imaginary part of $x_1(\omega), y_2(\omega), x_3(\omega)$] with respect to the center part of the S-structure are observed for the two polarization directions (d) x and (e) y. The comparison between the cross-polarization contributions (T_{ij}, R_{ij}) for the numerical simulations (circles), the predicted lines from the SRR parameters (dashed-dotted lines) and the fitted parameter spectra (solid lines) for the found parameters deduced for fitting the co-polarized response (T_{ii}, R_{ii}). The effective permittivity tensor (c), (f) diagonal and (i) the off-diagonal components.

are in agreement with the expectations from the SRR structure resonances.

As expected, for both the S and the L meta-atom the inphase eigenmodes appear at smaller wave numbers (larger wavelengths) compared to the out-of-phase ones. This is completely in agreement with arguments from plasmon hybridization theory.⁵³

Again the use of the oscillator parameters as optimized for the SRR structure [dash-dotted lines in Figs. 5(a), 5(b), 5(g), and 5(h) reveals the relationship between both structures, since the numerically (circles) calculated spectra agree with respect to the overall shape and the resonance positions Figs. 5(d) and 5(e) very well with the parametrical predictions (solid and dashed lines). A subsequent fitting again improves the results toward almost excellent agreement, which can also be observed for the cross-polarization observables Figs. 5(g) and 5(h). Considering the tensor components of the effective permittivity Figs. 5(c), 5(f), and 5(i), we observe a difference between the diagonal entries due to the geometrical differences in the S-structure center and arms, while the antiresonance for the out-of-phase eigenmode is observed in the off-diagonal elements with the same origin as discussed for the L structure.

In passing, we mention that due to the presence of the substrate the planar meta-atoms forming the S and L metamaterial are chiral as well. However, chiral effects induced by the substrate can be neglected as compared to the effects of the anisotropy of our planar meta-atoms itself.^{23,24}

Finally we provide all parameters required for the calculations presented here for the SRR as well as the two presented modifications in Table I.

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we have presented a model which permits the calculation of effective material parameters for planar metamaterials consisting of variable meta-atoms formed by a few straight wire sections of potentially different shape. The model takes advantage of resonant electric dipole oscillations in the wires and their mutual coupling. The pertinent form of the meta-atom determines the actual coupling features.

Although the model is parametric since it requires at least one rigorous simulation to fix all parameters, the determination of modified meta-atoms can be easily performed analytically. Here the SRR has been used as a particular reference

TABLE I. The fitted oscillator parameters applied to reproduce the optical far-field intensities for the SRR as well as the adapted parameters for the L and S structure are presented. For consistence with the corresponding figures, all spectral units are given in wave number units (cm^{-1}) .

Fitting parameter	SRR	L	S
$\overline{\omega_{0x},\omega_{0y}[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]}$	9770, 9050	9770, 9500	9350, 9770
$\gamma_x, \gamma_y [\text{cm}^{-1}]$	520, 420	520, 420	520, 420
$\sqrt{\sigma}$ [cm ⁻¹]	6100	6100	6100
$q_x^2 \eta / \epsilon_0 m [\text{cm}^{-2}]$	1.829×10^{8}	2.336×10^{8}	0.985×10^{8}
$q_y^2 \eta / \epsilon_0 m [\mathrm{cm}^{-2}]$	3.096×10^{8}	1.548×10^{8}	3.096×10^{8}

meta-atom, whereas two modified meta-atoms, the L and the S meta-atom have been modeled on the basis of the initial

*joerg.petschulat@uni-jena.de

- [†]Also at Fraunhofer Institute of Applied Optics and Precision Engineering Jena, Germany.
- ¹U. K. Chettiar, A. V. Kildishev, H.-K. Yuan, W. Cai, S. Xiao, V. P. Drachev, and V. M. Shalaev, Opt. Lett. **32**, 1671 (2007).
- ²G. Dolling, M. Wegener, C. Soukoulis, and S. Linden, Opt. Lett. **32**, 53 (2007).
- ³J. Valentine, S. Zhang, T. Zentgraf, G. B. E. Ulin-Avila, Dentcho A. Genov, and X. Zhang, Nature (London) **455**, 376 (2008).
- ⁴J. Valentine, J. Li, T. Zentgraf, G. Bartal, and X. Zhang, Nature Mater. **8**, 568 (2009).
- ⁵A. Alù and N. Engheta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 233901 (2009).
- ⁶Y. Lai, J. Ng, H. Y. Chen, D. Z. Han, J. J. Xiao, Z.-Q. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 253902 (2009).
- ⁷M. Farhat, S. Guenneau, and S. Enoch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 024301 (2009).
- ⁸D. Schurig, J. J. Mock, B. J. Justice, S. A. Cummer, J. B. Pendry, A. F. Starr, and D. R. Smith, Science **314**, 977 (2006).
- ⁹U. Leonhardt, Science **312**, 1777 (2006).
- ¹⁰E. E. Narimanov and A. V. Kildishev, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 041106 (2009).
- ¹¹S. M. Vukovic, I. V. Shadrivov, and Y. S. Kivshar, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 041902 (2009).
- ¹²D. Ö. Güney and D. A. Meyer, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 063834 (2009).
- ¹³N. Papasimakis, V. A. Fedotov, N. I. Zheludev, and S. L. Prosvirnin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 253903 (2008).
- ¹⁴N. Liu, L. Langguth, J. K. Thomas Weiss, M. Fleischhauer, T. Pfau, and H. Giessen, Nature Mater. 8, 758 (2009).
- ¹⁵C. Helgert, C. Menzel, C. Rockstuhl, E. Pshenay-Severin, E. B. Kley, A. Chipouline, A. Tünnermann, F. Lederer, and T. Pertsch, Opt. Lett. **34**, 704 (2009).
- ¹⁶C. García-Meca, R. Ortuño, F. J. Rodríguez-Fortuño, J. Martí, and A. Martínez, Opt. Lett. **34**, 1603 (2009).
- ¹⁷M. S. Rill, C. E. Kriegler, M. Thiel, G. von Freymann, S. Linden, and M. Wegener, Opt. Lett. **34**, 19 (2009).
- ¹⁸B. Bai, Y. Svirko, J. Turunen, and T. Vallius, Phys. Rev. A 76, 023811 (2007).
- ¹⁹L. Arnaut, J. Electromagn. Waves Appl. **11**, 1459 (1997).

SRR parameters. Thus, this model represents a kind of building block approach for quite different meta-atoms. Since in particular the effect of asymmetric transmission for circular polarized light attracted a lot of research interest recently, we focused here on planar meta-atoms that are optically active in the same manner due to elliptical dichroism. Within our model all properties of the effective permittivity tensors for such kind of media are correctly predicted and the corresponding scattering characteristics are in very good agreement with the rigorous numerical results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (ZIK, MetaMat) as well as from the State of Thuringia within the ProExcellence program is acknowledged.

- ²⁰J. A. Reyes and A. Lakhtakia, Opt. Commun. **266**, 565 (2006).
- ²¹S. L. Prosvirnin and N. I. Zheludev, J. Opt. A, Pure Appl. Opt. 11, 074002 (2009).
- ²²S. Tretyakov, I. Nefedov, A. Shivola, S. Maslovski, and C. Simovski, J. Electromagn. Waves Appl. **17**, 695 (2003).
- ²³ V. A. Fedotov, P. L. Mladyonov, S. L. Prosvirnin, A. V. Rogacheva, Y. Chen, and N. I. Zheludev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 167401 (2006).
- ²⁴ V. A. Fedotov, A. Schwanecke, N. Zheludev, V. V. Khardikov, and S. L. Prosvirnin, Nano Lett. 7, 1996 (2007).
- ²⁵S. V. Zhukovsky, A. V. Novitsky, and V. M. Galynsky, Opt. Lett. 34, 1988 (2009).
- ²⁶J. Pendry, Science **306**, 1353 (2004).
- ²⁷S. Tretyakov, A. Sihvola, and L. Jylhä, Photonics Nanostruct. Fundam. Appl. **3**, 107 (2005).
- ²⁸J. Zhou, J. Dong, B. Wang, T. Koschny, M. Kafesaki, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 121104(R) (2009).
- ²⁹J. Petschulat, C. Menzel, A. Chipouline, C. Rockstuhl, A. Tünnermann, F. Lederer, and T. Pertsch, Phys. Rev. A 78, 043811 (2008).
- ³⁰L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **37**, 405 (1931).
- ³¹H. Casimir, Rev. Mod. Phys. **17**, 343 (1945).
- ³²S. Tretyakov, A. Sihvola, and B. Jancewicz, J. Electromagn. Waves Appl. **16**, 573 (2002).
- ³³J. Petschulat, A. Chipouline, A. Tünnermann, T. Pertsch, C. Menzel, C. Rockstuhl, and F. Lederer, Phys. Rev. A 80, 063828 (2009).
- ³⁴H. Raether, Surface Plasmons (Springer, New York, 1988).
- ³⁵S. Tretyakov, Analytical Modeling in Applied Electromagnetics (Artech House, Boston, 2003).
- ³⁶P. S. Pershan, Phys. Rev. **130**, 919 (1963).
- ³⁷N. Liu, H. Liu, S. Zhu, and H. Giessen, Nat. Photonics **3**, 157 (2009).
- ³⁸C. Enkrich, M. Wegener, S. Linden, S. Burger, L. Zschiedrich, F. Schmidt, J. F. Zhou, T. Koschny, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 203901 (2005).
- ³⁹R. Marqués, F. Medina, and R. Rafii-El-Idrissi, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144440 (2002).

- ⁴⁰C. Rockstuhl, T. Zentgraf, E. Pshenay-Severin, J. Petschulat, A. Chipouline, J. Kuhl, T. Pertsch, H. Giessen, and F. Lederer, Opt. Express **15**, 8871 (2007).
- ⁴¹R. E. Raab and O. L. D. Lange, *Multipole Theory in Electromagnetism* (Clarendon, Oxford, 2005).
- ⁴²L. Li, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 14, 2758 (1997).
- ⁴³ The period in x and y direction is 0.4 μ m, the SRR arm length 0.2 μ m, the base width 0.08 μ m, the arm width 0.04 μ m, and the metal film thickness 0.025 μ m. Gold material parameters were taken from literature (Ref. 54). As a substrate index we used $n_{sub}=1.5$ and for the ambient material $n_{amb}=1$.
- ⁴⁴P. Yeh, Optical Waves in Layered Media (Wiley, New York, 1998).
- ⁴⁵B. Canfield, S. Kujala, M. Kauranen, K. Jemovs, T. Vallius, and J. Turunen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 183109 (2005).
- ⁴⁶B. K. Canfield, S. Kujala, K. Jefimovs, T. Vallius, J. Turunen, and M. Kauranen, J. Opt. A, Pure Appl. Opt. 7, S110 (2005).

- ⁴⁷ M. Decker, S. Linden, and M. Wegener, Opt. Lett. **34**, 1579 (2009).
- ⁴⁸G. Borzdov, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 6328 (1997).
- ⁴⁹L. Li, J. Opt. A, Pure Appl. Opt. **5**, 345 (2003).
- ⁵⁰We mention that the fitting procedure can be manually performed since the parameters are distinct with respect to their spectral effect, e.g., resonance frequency (ω_0), resonance splitting (σ), resonance width (γ) and resonance strength ($q^2 \eta / \epsilon_0 m$).
- ⁵¹H. Chen, L. Ran, J. Huangfu, X. Zhang, K. Chen, T. M. Grzegorczyk, and J. A. Kong, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 151909 (2005).
- ⁵²H. S. Chen, L. X. Ran, J. T. Huangfu, X. M. Zhang, K. S. Chen, T. M. Grzegorczyk, and J. A. Kong, Prog. Electromagn. Res. **51**, 231 (2005).
- ⁵³E. Prodan, C. Radloff, N. J. Halas, and P. Nordlander, Science **302**, 419 (2003).
- ⁵⁴P. B. Johnson and R. W. Christy, Phys. Rev. B 6, 4370 (1972).